

**Mendel University in Brno
Czech Society of Landscape Engineers – ČSSI, z.s.**

**Public recreation and landscape protection
– with environment hand in hand!**



Proceedings of the 15th Conference

Editor: Jitka Fialová

13th–15th May 2024, Křtiny

**2024
MENDEL UNIVERSITY IN BRNO**

Czech Society of Landscape Engineers – ČSSI, z. s.,



and

**Department of Landscape Management
Faculty of Forestry and Wood Technology
Mendel University in Brno**



**Public recreation and landscape protection
—
with environment hand in hand!**

Proceedings of the 15th Conference

Editor: associate Professor Ing. Jitka Fialová, MSc., Ph.D.

**13–15 May 2024
Křtiny (Czech Republic)**

Under the auspices
of prof. Dr. Ing. Jan Mareš, the Rector of Mendel University in Brno,
of prof. Dr. Ing. Libor Jankovský, the Dean of the Faculty of Forestry and Wood Technology,
Mendel University in Brno,
of doc. Ing. Tomáš Vrška, Dr., the Director of Training Forest Enterprise Masaryk Forest
Křtiny, Mendel University in Brno,
of Ing. Dalibor Šafařík, Ph.D., the Chief Executive Office, Forests of the Czech Republic,



of Mgr. Jan Grolich, the Governor of South Moravia,

south moravian region

of PhDr. Ivan Bartoš, Ph.D., Minister of Regional Development of the Czech Republic,



and of Mgr. Marek Výborný, Minister of Agriculture of the Czech Republic,



in cooperation with Czech Bioclimatological Society, Nature Conservation Agency of the
Czech Republic) and Partnerství, o.p.s., with the financial support of



The authors are responsible for the content of the article, publication ethics and the citation form. All the articles were peer-reviewed.

© Mendel University in Brno, Zemědělská 1, 613 00 Brno, Czechia

ISBN 978-80-7509-962-4 (print)

ISBN 978-80-7509-963-1 (online ; pdf)

ISSN 2336-6311 (print)

ISSN 2336-632X (online)

<https://doi.org/10.11118/978-80-7509-963-1>

Open Access. This book is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, CC-BY 4.0 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)



Contents

AGROECOLOGY IMPLEMENTED THROUGH BIOSYSTEMS ENGINEERING TECHNIQUES FOR RURAL LANDSCAPE PROTECTION <i>Roberto Puglisi, Salvatore Margiotta, Dina Statuto, Pietro Picuno</i>	9
ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE TOURISM ACROSS GENERATIONS; A QUANTITATIVE APPLICATION ON X, Y, AND Z GENERATIONS <i>Cihan Yilmaz, Özlem Karatas, Eva Abramuszkinová Pavlíková</i>	14
CONTRIBUTION OF AN NGO TO ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATION AT A PRIMARY SCHOOL THROUGH THE 'PROJECT GARDEN LABORATORY' <i>Katarína Slobodníková, Attila Tóth</i>	23
CROSS-BORDER DIMENSION OF (UN)SUSTAINABLE TOURISM IN BORDER REGIONS <i>Emil Drápela</i>	29
CULTURAL ECOSYSTEM SERVICES OF THE TRADITIONAL SOUTH BOHEMIAN LANDSCAPE ON THE EXAMPLE OF LAG TŘEBOŇSKO <i>Jiří Schneider, Eliška Pechancová, Ilona Zourková</i>	34
DEFINING A STRATEGY FOR MONITORING MARINE LITER IN THE PROTECTED AREA OF ASINARA ISLAND, BY INVOLVING THE LOCAL COMUNITY <i>Sonia Malvica, Radu-Daniel Pintilii, Marian Marin, Andreea Denis Andra Topârceanu, Donatella Carboni</i>	41
DEFORESTATION IN GABON: DETERMINANTS OF FOREST ACTIVITIES AND ECONOMIC GROWTH AMIDST LANDSCAPE PROTECTION AND CLIMATE CHANGE CRISIS <i>Dastan Bamwesigye, Evans Yeboa</i>	46
DESIGNING HEALTHSCAPES FOR THE DUDINCE SPA RESORT IN SLOVAKIA <i>Attila Tóth, Mária Bihuňová, Miroslav Čibik</i>	52
DETERMINATION OF THE HISTORICAL CULTURAL LANDSCAPES AND ITS APPLICATION IN LANDSCAPE PROTECTION AND PLANNING <i>Alena Salašová, Zuzana Fialová</i>	58
EFFECTIVENESS OF ECODUCTS AND HUMAN ACTIVITY <i>Ivo Dostál, Tomáš Libosvár, Zdeněk Hejkal, Martin Strnad, Martin Slepica, Josef Svoboda, Jiří Jedlička, Marek Havlíček, Václav Hlaváč</i>	64
EFFICIENCY OF FOREST NATURAL HABITATS CONSERVATION IN THE OUTER WESTERN CARPATHIANS (CZECH REPUBLIC) <i>Ivo Machar, Helena Kilianová, Stanislav Grill, Vilém Pechanec</i>	69
ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATION OPPORTUNITIES FOR FUTURE TEACHERS <i>Martina Miškelová, Jitka Fialová, Marie Horáčková, Pavla Kotásková</i>	74
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND SUSTAINABLE TOURISM OF CROSS-BORDER NATIONAL PARKS IN KOSOVO, MONTENEGRO AND ALBANIA: CONTINGENT VALUATION METHOD <i>Azdren Dolj, Taulant Dolj, Petra Hlavackova Dastan Bamwesigye</i>	80
EVALUATING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DEFORESTATION – PROTECTED AREAS: A NEW APPROACH IN CASE OF EASTERN CARPATHIANS FROM SUCEAVA COUNTY <i>Ana-Maria Ciobotaru</i>	86
FIELD LANDSCAPE AND ITS RESTORATION <i>Hedvika Psotová</i>	92

FLOOD PROTECTION OF THE NIŽNÝ HRUŠOV VILLAGE USING WATER RETENTION MEASURES <i>Martina Zeleňáková, Natália Junáková</i>	97
FLORA OF SOUTH MORAVIAN VINEYARDS AND THE EDUCATION OF THE ELDERLY CITIZENS <i>Jan Winkler, Erika Hurajová, Petra Martínez Barroso, Kateřina Pevná, Lenka Kamanová</i>	103
FLOWERING DYNAMICS OF SELECTED PLANTS WITH ALLERGENIC POLLEN <i>Jaroslav Rožnovský, Milan Palát</i>	107
FOREST CEMETERIES – USAGE AND DIRECTIONS OF DEVELOPMENT. EXAMPLE OF CENTRAL POLAND AND EAST GERMANY <i>Andrzej Długoński, Beata Fortuna-Antoszkiewicz, Jan Łukaszkiwicz</i>	112
FREQUENCY ANALYSIS SEVERE METEOROLOGICAL DROUGHT IN THE EASTERN PART OF SLOVAKIA <i>Martina Zeleňáková, Tatiana Soláková, Miroslav Garaj, Hany F. Abd-Elhamid</i>	117
FROM POTENTIALS TO ECOSYSTEM SERVICES - THE ASSESSMENT OF ECOSYSTEM SERVICES IN SLOVAKIA <i>Radovan Pondelík</i>	125
FRUIT TREES AS IMPORTANT ELEMENTS OF URBAN GREEN SQUARES' VEGETATION – ON THE EXAMPLE OF THE MOKOTÓW DISTRICT IN WARSAW, POLAND <i>Kinga Kimic, Jakub Lewandowski</i>	131
GEOHERITAGE ENDANGERED BY EROSION: EXAMPLES FROM THE PRACHOV AREA <i>Artur Boháč, Petr Vacek</i>	137
GREEN BUDGET AS A FORM OF SOCIAL ACTIVATION TO IMPROVE THE URBAN ENVIRONMENT – CASE STUDY OF KATOWICE, POLAND <i>Kinga Kimic, Paulina Polko</i>	142
GUERRILLA GARDENING AS A CHALLENGE IN ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATION? <i>Jana Dundelová</i>	147
HARMONIZING NATURE AND TECH: EXPLORING THE FUSION OF MOBILE TECHNOLOGY IN OUTDOOR ADVENTURE <i>Karin Baisová, Michal Marko, Štefan Adamčák, Jana Luptáková</i>	153
HOW ARE RECREATIONAL FACILITIES INFLUENCED BY THE NEW BUILDING ACT IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC? <i>Alena Kliková</i>	158
HOW CAN BOTTOM UP ACTIVISM CREATE A PUBLIC PARK: CASE STUDY OF EVOLUTION GARDEN IN ČEBOVCE (SLOVAKIA) <i>Katarína Miklášová, Anna Mária Mitrová, Ladislav Bakay</i>	163
IMPACT OF AIR TEMPERATURE DEVELOPMENT IN WINTER ON RECREATION <i>Jaroslav Rožnovský, Milan Palát</i>	168
IMPLEMENTING MULTI-TEMPORAL CARTOGRAPHY INTO A GIS FOR ANALYZING RURAL LANDSCAPE AND PROTECTING FOREST HERITAGE <i>Dina Statuto, Roberto Puglisi, Salvatore Margiotta, Pietro Picuno</i>	173
INFLUENCE OF UNDERGROUND WATER CHANGES ON STRUCTURE AND QUALITY OF VEGETATION <i>Ivan Il'ko, Viera Peterková, Slavomír Vojtilla, Marcel Raček</i>	178

LANDSCAPE ARCHETYPES – AN OPPORTUNITY FOR SUSTAINABLE RURAL TOURISM <i>Regina Mišovičová, Zuzana Pucherová</i>	183
LANDSCAPE-ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN IN THE RECREATION AREA NOVÁ DUCHONKA <i>Gabriel Kuczman, Viera Paganová</i>	189
LANDSCAPE-ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN OF THE BUKOVINKA FOREST PARK IN ZVOLEN <i>Mária Bihuňová, Katarína Pomahačová</i>	195
LANDSCAPE-ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN OF THE OLD ORCHARD IN LOCATION PRESEL'ANY FOR RECREATION AND WELL BEING <i>Viera Paganová, Gabriel Kuczman</i>	199
LIVESTOCK BREEDING AND MILK PROCESSING AS KEY FACTORS FOR THE PROMOTION OF AGRITOURISM ACTIVITIES IN BASILICATA <i>Carmela Lovallo, Salvatore Claps, Attilio Matera, Francesco Genovese</i>	206
MAPPING THE IN-BETWEEN – APPROACHES AND METHODS OF RESEARCHING URBAN VOIDS <i>Miroslav Čibik</i>	211
NEW ELEMENTS IN THE LANDSCAPE OF THE PAVLOVSKÉ VRCHY HILLS <i>Jana Konečná, Michal Pochop, Veronika Sobotková, Tomáš Pochop, Jana Podhrázká, Eva Nováková</i>	216
OPPORTUNITIES TO IDENTIFY SUITABLE SITES FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SMALL WATER BODIES ON DRAINED AREAS <i>Jana Podhrázká, Josef Kučera, Petr Karásek, Jan Szturc, Michal Pochop</i>	222
PARK OF CHOCOLATE: DESIGN IDEAS FOR A HISTORICAL VILLAGE PARK AT A CHOCOLATE FACTORY <i>Attila Tóth</i>	227
POTENTIAL FOR CROWDSOURCED HYDROLOGIC DATA ON TFE MF KŘTINY AS A SIDE PRODUCT OF LOCAL RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES <i>Jan Deutscher, Ondřej Hemr, Petr Hrůza</i>	232
PRECIPITATION AND AIR TEMPERATURE TREND INVESTIGATION OF THE KOSICE BY TRADITIONAL APPROACHES <i>Yunus Ziya Kaya, Martina Zelenakova</i>	237
QUANTIFYING THE COOLING FUNCTION OF URBAN VEGETATION BASED ON IMAGE DATA ANALYSIS <i>Tereza Pohanková, Vilém Pechanec</i>	244
RECREATIONAL AND SPORT FLYING IN THE CONTEXT OF THE PROTECTION AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE NATURAL LANDSCAPE <i>Jiří Chlebek, Jaromír Hammer, Jitka Fialová</i>	249
RECREATIONAL POSSIBILITIES OF PUBLIC OPEN SPACES IN PÁROVCE, NITRA <i>Mária Bihuňová, Roberta Štěpánková</i>	253
RECREATIONAL POTENTIAL OF NEWLY BUILT POOLS <i>Jana Marková, Věra Hubačíková, Petr Pelikán</i>	258
RECREATIONAL POTENTIAL OF THE AGROKOMPLEX NATIONAL EXHIBITION CENTER, STATE ENTERPRISE IN THE CHRENOVÁ URBAN DISTRICT NITRA, SLOVAKIA <i>Zuzana Vinczeová, Roberta Legros Štěpánková, Roberta Štěpánková, Attila Tóth</i>	263

RECREATIONAL USAGE OF THE CENTRAL PART OF THE JAVORNÍKY MTS <i>Vladimír Juško, Stanislav Azor, Jakub Bočko</i>	268
RECREATIONAL USE OF LAND: UNVEILING THE MODERN FRONTIER OF OUTDOOR ADVENTURE – GEOCACHING <i>Stanislav Azor, Michal Marko, Štefan Adamčák</i>	273
REDUCING THE NEGATIVE IMPACT OF TOURISM ON THE ENVIRONMENT BY USING RAIL TRANSPORT. CASE STUDY: BUCHAREST NORD-BRASOV ROUTE <i>Adrian-Nicolae Jipa, Camelia Teodorescu, Ioana Voinea, Darius Nestoriuc</i>	277
ROADSIDE TREES – AN IMPORTANT ELEMENT OF THE OPEN AREAS' LANDSCAPE <i>Beata Fortuna-Antoszkiewicz, Jan Łukaszewicz, Piotr Wiśniewski, Andrzej Długoński, Natalia Boiko</i>	282
SAFETY PROVIDING ROLE OF URBAN PARKS – A CASE STUDY OF CENTRAL PARK (NEW YORK, USA) <i>Paulina Polko, Kinga Kimic</i>	288
STRENGTHS AND THREATS OF TOURISM IN THE HRANICE KARST <i>Hana Vavrouchová, Petra Opletová, Jozef Sedláček, Kristýna Kohoutková</i>	292
STRIP CROP ROTATION IN FARMLAND MANAGEMENT: AN INNOVATIVE APPROACH TO SOIL CONSERVATION AND ENHANCING THE AESTHETIC AND RECREATIONAL POTENTIAL OF THE LANDSCAPE <i>Petr Karásek, Michal Pochop, Eva Nováková, Tomáš Pochop, Josef Kučera</i>	296
SUITABLE AND UNSUITABLE ROOF COVERINGS FOR SMALL BUILDINGS IN THE LANDSCAPE <i>Pavla Kotásková, Jitka Fialová</i>	301
TEMPORAL TRENDS OF HUMAN ACTIVITY IN THE LANDSCAPE AND IN THE CROSSING STRUCTURES ACROSS MAIN ROADS IN AUSTRIA <i>Mořic Jurečka, Jitka Fialová, Florian Danzinger, Christoph Plutzer, Petr Čermák</i>	306
THE “PLACE MEANING” CONCEPT IN EDUCATION: A CASE STUDY FROM THE BOHEMIAN PARADISE PROTECTED LANDSCAPE AREA, CZECHIA <i>Dominik Rubáš, Anežka Nejedlová, Tomáš Matějček</i>	311
THE BLATNÁ WATER DITCH – AN EXAMPLE OF CONNECTING MULTIPLE INTERESTS WHILE PRESERVING A FUNCTIONAL MONUMENT OF TECHNICAL HERITAGE <i>Miloš Rozkošný, Miriam Dzuráková, David Honek, Jaromír Florian, Aleš Vyskočil, Kateřina Sedláčková, Zbyněk Sviták</i>	318
THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASPECT OF TOURISM AND RECREATION ALSO AFFECTS THE VALUE OF RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES <i>David Brandejs, Pavel Klika</i>	322
THE FUTURE OF DESIGNED LANDSCAPES IN THE NATIONAL PARK <i>Kristýna Kříčková, Alena Salašová</i>	327
THE IMPORTANCE OF HISTORICAL VINEYARD LANDSCAPES IN NATURE CONSERVATION AND RECREATION <i>Marek Havlíček, Hana Skokanová, Tomáš Slach, Marie Vymazalová, Markéta Šantrůčková</i>	332
THE REQUALIFICATION OF ANCIENT ROADS FOR PUBLIC RECREATION <i>Zoe Godosi, Pietro Picuno</i>	338
THE URBAN GREENERY OF THE BRNO CITY AS AN ENVIRONMENT FOR PEOPLE'S RECREATION AS WELL AS THE LIFE OF LARGE WILD MAMMALS <i>Jakub Drimaj</i>	343

TOURISM USE OF POST VOLCANIC RESOURCES IN COVASNA, ROMANIA AND THE IMPACT ON THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT <i>Camelia Teodorescu, Adrian Nicolae Jipa, Ana-Irina Lequeux-Dinca, Darius Nestoriuc, Ioana Voinea</i>	346
TREES AS A PART OF SMALL SACRAL ARCHITECTURE IN THE LIPTOV REGION <i>Marek Hus</i>	351
URBAN PARK AS A LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT'S LABORATORY; THE IMPORTANCE OF OPEN EDUCATION AND POPULARIZATION IN THE CONTEXT OF CURRENT ENVIRONMENTAL SOCIAL DEMANDS <i>Jan Łukaszkiwicz, Beata Fortuna-Antoszkiewicz, Andrzej Długoński, Piotr Wiśniewski</i>	359
VISITORS' PERSPECTIVES ON SUSTAINABLE TOURISM: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF NATIONAL PARKS IN KOSOVO, MONTENEGRO AND ALBANIA <i>Azdren Doli, Taulant Doli, Dastan Bamwesigye</i>	365
VISUAL EXPOSURE OF MONUMENTS ON CYCLE ROUTES IN THE NITRA SELF-GOVERNING REGION <i>Adam Čaplák, Henrich Grežo</i>	371
VISUAL POLLUTION AND ITS IMPACT ON THE URBAN LANDSCAPE: A CASE STUDY OF BUCHAREST'S CITY CENTER <i>Ioana Voinea, Aurel Gheorghilas, Adrian-Nicolae Jipa, Camelia Teodorescu, Darius Nestoriuc</i>	376
VISUAL POLLUTION PROVIDED BY BUILDINGS WITH A HIGH DEGREE OF DECAY AND ITS IMPACT ON THE URBAN LANDSCAPE: A CASE STUDY OF BRĂILA CITY CENTER <i>Darius Nestoriuc, Ioana Voinea, Adrian-Nicolae Jipa, Camelia Teodorescu, Aurel Gheorghilas</i>	381
WALK WITHOUT DESTROYING, PROTECT WITHOUT PROHIBITING ; NATURE : ACCESS UNDER CONDITIONS <i>Philippe Pesteil</i>	386
WAYS OF BUILDING A RECIPROCAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE INTERVENTION AND ITS HOST STRUCTURE <i>Miroslav Čibik</i>	393
WILL THE GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE-INDUCED CHANGES IN THE STRUCTURE OF OUR FORESTS BRING ABOUT A SIGNIFICANT CHANGE IN THE REPRESENTATION OF ALLERGENIC TREE SPECIES? <i>Petr Kupec</i>	399
YOUTHS PERCEPTIONS OF AGROFORESTRY IN UGANDA: MOTIVATIONS AND WILLINGNESS TO PARTICIPATE IN HIGHLAND AGROFORESTRY TREE PLANTING AND LANDSCAPE PROTECTION <i>Dastan Bamwesigye, Evans Yeboa, Seval Ozbalci, Jitka Fialova, Robert Tweheyo, Obed Asamoah</i>	403

CULTURAL ECOSYSTEM SERVICES OF THE TRADITIONAL SOUTH BOHEMIAN LANDSCAPE ON THE EXAMPLE OF LAG TŘEBOŇSKO

Jiří Schneider¹, Eliška Pechancová¹, Ilona Zourková¹

Department of Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Regional Development and International Studies, Mendel University in Brno, Třída Generála Píky 2005/7, Brno, 613 00, Czech Republic

<https://doi.org/10.11118/978-80-7509-963-1-0034>

Abstract

The article focuses on the identification and evaluation of cultural ecosystem services within the territory of the Local Action Group (LAG) Třeboňsko. The practical implementation of ecosystem services into decision-making processes, planning, monitoring, or economic mechanisms in the Czech Republic is still low. Besides the need for its dissemination in public administration, it is desirable to raise awareness within the organization of local action groups as a tool for local and rural development. Methodically, the article works with an expert estimation of the significance of cultural ecosystem services in terms of targeted management on a scale of 4 to 0 points. For the actual identification of cultural ecosystem services, the CICES system was used. Its ecosystem service classes were grouped into four groups - 9.1.1.1 Characteristics of ecosystems that enable activities supporting health, recovery, or pleasure through active physical or impressive interactions; 9.1.1.2 Characteristics of ecosystems that enable activities supporting health, recovery, or pleasure through passive or observational interactions; 9.1.1.3 Characteristics of ecosystems that enable intellectual interactions, research activities, or education; 9.1.1.4 Characteristics of ecosystems with heritage value - cultural, historical, traditional, regional heritage (biodiversity conservation also belongs to this group). To map the sources of cultural ecosystem services, the Consolidated Ecosystem Layer (KVES) was used. The model area of LAG Třeboňsko is unique with its pond landscape, where valuable natural ecosystems intersect with a historical pond management system. This is reflected in the widespread representation of cultural ecosystem service sources belonging to group 9.1.1.4 with the highest priority in terms of management.

Keywords: Regional development, Local Action Group, Ecosystem services, Cultural landscape

Introduction

Cultural Ecosystem Services and Their Significance for Society

Cultural Ecosystem Services (CES) represent intangible benefits that humanity derives from ecosystems. These benefits include aesthetic contributions that can serve as inspiration, reinforcement of cultural identity, a sense of belonging to the place where people live, spiritual experiences, or recreational activities. These services are crucial for improving the quality of life for individuals and communities as they foster the relationship between humans and nature, culture, thus contributing to overall human well-being. Cultural ecosystem services arise from the interaction between humans and the environment. They are non-material benefits that aid in assessing ecosystem services by revealing significant social aspects in the management of natural resources (Pascua et al., 2017).

The aesthetic and recreational values of ecosystems can directly contribute to the development of tourism, which is a crucial component of the economy in many regions. Recreational and aesthetic values of nature and landscape can attract visitors, generating income and job opportunities (TEEB, 2010).

Spiritual and cultural values of nature can strengthen regional identity and contribute to social cohesion through shared values and experiences. These values can also be utilized for educational and interpretive purposes, creating added value for visitors and local residents (Daniel et al., 2012).

These benefits of CES are fundamental aspects in regional development because they can act as a source for the development of local economies, strengthen social cohesion, support regional identity, and improve the quality of life for residents in the region.

Local Action Groups

Local Action Groups (LAGs) act as independent networks of citizens, non-profit organizations, entrepreneurs, and public institutions dedicated to the development of rural regions, supporting the agricultural sector in accessing financial support from national and European Union funds through the LEADER method (French: Liaison Entrée Actions de Développement de Économie Rurale), which translates to "Linking activities for rural development." The main mission of LAGs is to support the quality of life and protection of the environment in rural areas, which includes effective management of grant funds.

The article focuses on the identification and evaluation of cultural ecosystem services within the territory of the Local Action Group (LAG) Třeboňsko. The practical implementation of ecosystem services into decision-making processes, planning, monitoring, or economic mechanisms in the Czech Republic is still low. Besides the need for its dissemination in public administration, it is desirable to raise awareness within the organization of local action groups as a tool for local development and rural development.

Materials and methods

Mapping was performed using the Consolidated Ecosystem Layer (KVES developed by CzechGlobe) and publicly available orthophoto maps. Since both sources may not be current, the ongoing result was consulted with LAG managers and updated as necessary. Ecosystem service resources were described at the level of Land Use/Land Cover types - e.g., arable land, natural/artificial water bodies, meadows, and pastures, etc. Each ecosystem service resource was described in terms of cultural ecosystem services - its potential for provision and possible ways of utilization and management by stakeholders.

For the assessment of cultural ecosystem services in the territory of LAG Třeboňsko, we have chosen an expert estimation of the significance of ecosystem services based on their management (i.e., whether the ecosystem service is the main or secondary goal of management with the given ES resource - ecosystem type) or utilization. This is our own original approach. The proposed scoring for the importance of individual types of ecosystems in providing, utilizing, and managing ES under current conditions in the Czech Republic is as follows:

H – Main ecosystem service - almost always managed (usually the main goal of management), utilized (protected by law, subject to trade, intensity of visitation) – value **4**

V – Secondary ecosystem service - almost always utilized (consumed, used), but not always the goal of management - value **3**

O – Occasional - the ecosystem has the potential for its utilization (produces function), but it is deliberately utilized rather rarely or, if frequently, in negligible scale - value **2**

T – Theoretical - The ecosystem has the potential for ES utilization but is not utilized as much (or was utilized in the past) - value **1**

Unused or unmanaged ecosystem services - value **0**, without designation.

When processing ecosystem service classes, we found the possibility to unify and merge cultural ecosystem services into four own categories based on the similarity and overlaps of the original CICES ecosystem service classes:

9.1.1.1 Characteristics of ecosystems that enable activities supporting health, recovery, or pleasure through active physical or impressive interactions

9.1.1.2 Characteristics of ecosystems that enable activities supporting health, recovery, or pleasure through passive or observational interactions

9.1.1.3 Characteristics of ecosystems that enable intellectual interactions, research activities, or education

9.1.1.4 Characteristics of ecosystems with heritage value - cultural, historical, traditional, regional heritage The overall value of significance for cultural ecosystem services is calculated according to the formula:

Cultural Ecosystem Services (CES)

$$CES = 9.1.1.1 + 9.1.1.2 + 9.1.1.3 + 9.1.1.4$$

Results

The scoring values of the significance of cultural ecosystem services in terms of management are presented for ecosystem categories represented in the territory of LAG Třeboňsko in Table 1. Forest ecosystems, including intensively managed forests, generally have high significance.

Similarly, natural ecosystems in general. For water bodies and ecosystems, the impossibility of active water recreation often reduces their value, while conversely, the value of historical and cultural heritage, as well as the intrinsic value of nature, increases.

Tab. 1: Scoring values of the significance of cultural ecosystem services according to the significance in terms of and the goal of managing ecosystem service resources

KVES Categories	9.1.1.1	9.1.1.2	9.1.1.3	9.1.1.4	Sum of CES
Alluvial meadows	3	3	2	4	12
Swamps	1	2	2	4	9
Beech forests	3	3	2	4	12
Transport units	0	0	1	0	1
Oak and oakhornbeam forests	3	3	2	4	12
Intensive coniferous forests	3	3	2	3	11
Intensive broad-leaved forests	3	3	2	3	11
Intensive mixed forests	3	3	2	3	11
Degradated grasslands	1	3	2	2	8
Alluvial forests	3	3	2	4	12
Macrophyte vegetation of water bodies	0	1	2	4	7
Artificial urban green areas – parks, gardens, cemeteries	4	4	3	2	13
Mesic meadows	3	3	2	4	12
Wetlands and littoral vegetation	0	2	2	4	8
Introduced shrub vegetation	1	2	2	3	8
Discontinuous urban fabric	2	2	3	1	8
Arable land	1	0	2	4	7
Orchards and gardens	1	4	2	3	10
Industrial and commercial units	0	0	2	0	2
Natural shrub vegetation	2	3	2	4	11
Peatbogs and springs	1	2	2	4	9
Bog forests	3	3	2	4	12
Scattered greenery	3	3	2	4	12
Human influenced water bodies	2	3	2	3	10
Artificial rocks	0	0	1	2	3
Natural rocks	2	0	2	2	6
Dump and construction units	0	0	1	0	1
Spruce forests	3	3	2	4	12
Continuous urban fabric	1	1	1	0	3
Artificial urban green areas – recreation and sport areas	4	3	1	0	8
Dry pine forests	3	3	2	4	12
Dry grasslands	3	3	2	4	12
Ravine forests	3	3	2	4	12
Water courses	2	2	3	4	11
Heaths	3	3	2	4	12

The following figures present the spatial representation of cultural ecosystem services in the territory of LAG Třeboňsko within individual groups (figures 2 – 5) and their overall sum (figure 6). Figure 1 presents the diversity of ecosystems in the Consolidated Ecosystem Layer (KVES) as sources of cultural ecosystem services.




Fig. 1: Consolidated ecosystem layer in the territory of LAG Třeboňsko




Fig. 2: Assessment of the potential management and utilization of cultural ecosystem services in LAG Třeboňsko - Characteristics of ecosystems that enable activities supporting health, recovery, or pleasure through active physical or impressive interactions 9.1.1.1




Fig. 3: Assessment of the potential management and utilization of cultural ecosystem services in LAG Třeboňsko - Characteristics of ecosystems that enable activities supporting health, recovery, or pleasure through passive or observational interactions 9.1.1.2




Fig. 4: Assessment of the potential management and utilization of cultural ecosystem services in LAG Třeboňsko - Characteristics of ecosystems that enable intellectual interactions, research activities, or education 9.1.1.3




Fig. 5: Assessment of the potential management and utilization of cultural ecosystem services in LAG Třeboňsko - Characteristics of ecosystems that have heritage value - cultural, historical, traditional, regional heritage 9.1.1.4




Fig. 6: Total value of cumulative classes of cultural ecosystem services in LAG Třeboňsko

Conclusion

For the evaluation of cultural ecosystem services, expert estimation was used in terms of significance as a management goal. Although this method is subject to subjective interpretation, mapping of cultural ecosystem services in the territory of the Local Action Group Třeboňsko has shown to be a relevant methodological approach. From the results, it is evident that the traditional, well-preserved, harmonious cultural landscape of Třeboňsko, with a mosaic of natural and extensively managed agricultural ecosystems, represents a significant source of cultural ecosystem services.

References

- Pascua, P. et al. (2017). Beyond services: A process and framework to incorporate cultural, genealogical, place-based, and indigenous relationships in ecosystem service assessments. *ScienceDirect*. 26. 465-475. ISSN 2212-0416.
- THE ECONOMICS OF ECOSYSTEMS AND BIODIVERSITY. 2010. *Mainstreaming the Economics of Nature: A synthesis of the approach, conclusions and recommendations of TEEB*. Malta, Progress Press. ISBN 978-3-9813410-3-4.
- Daniel, T.C. et al. (2012). Contributions of cultural services to the ecosystem services agenda. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 109(23). 8812–8819.

Acknowledgement

The article was processed as an output of the project IGA FRRMS 23-011 Perception and support of cultural ecosystem services in Local Action Groups as a tool for regional development. The methodological approach to the evaluation of cultural ecosystem services emerged as an interim result of the project TA ČR SS05010009. Development of effective tools for monitoring and assessment of ecological status and ecosystem services of fishponds and for an improvement of communication with stakeholders

Souhrn

Článek je zaměřen na identifikaci a vyhodnocení kulturních ekosystémových služeb v rámci území místní akční skupiny (LAG) Třeboňsko. Praktická implementace ekosystémových služeb do rozhodovacího procesu, plánovacích, kontrolních či ekonomických mechanismů je v České republice stále nízká. Kromě potřeby jejího šíření ve veřejné správě je žádoucí zvyšovat povědomí i v rámci organizace místních akčních skupin jako nástroje pro místní rozvoj a rozvoj venkova. Metodický článek pracuje s expertním odhadem významnosti kulturních ekosystémových služeb z hlediska cílového obhospodařování na škále 4 – 0 bodů. Pro vlastní identifikaci kulturních ekosystémových služeb byl využit systém CICES. Jeho třídy ekosystémových služeb byly sdruženy do čtyř skupin - **9.1.1.1** Charakteristiky ekosystémů, které umožňují činnosti podporující zdraví, zotavení nebo potěšení prostřednictvím aktivních fyzických nebo působivých interakcí; **9.1.1.2** Charakteristiky ekosystémů, které umožňují činnosti podporující zdraví, zotavení nebo potěšení prostřednictvím pasivních nebo pozorovacích interakcí; **9.1.1.3** Charakteristiky ekosystémů, které umožňují intelektuální interakce, výzkumné aktivity nebo vzdělávání; **9.1.1.4** Charakteristiky ekosystémů, které mají hodnotu odkazu - kulturního, historického, tradičního, regionálního dědictví (do této skupiny patří i ochrana biodiverzity). Pro zmapování zdrojů kulturních ekosystémových služeb byla použita Konsolidovaná vrstva ekosystémů (KVES). Modelové území LAG Třeboňsko je unikátní svojí rybníční krajinou, v níž se setkávají cenné přírodní ekosystémy s historickým systémem rybníkaření. To se odráží v plošně rozsáhlém zastoupení zdrojů kulturních ekosystémových služeb, patřících do skupiny 9.1.1.4 s nejvyšší prioritou z hlediska obhospodařování.

Contact:

Ing. Jiří Schneider, Ph.D.

E-mail: jiri.schneider@mendelu.cz

Open Access. This article is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, CC-BY 4.0 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)



Title: Proceedings of the 15th Conference Public recreation and landscape protection – with environment hand in hand!

Editor of the proceeding: associate Professor Ing. Jitka Fialová, MSc., Ph.D.

Publisher: Mendel University in Brno, Zemědělská 1, 613 00 Brno, Czechia

Print: Mendel University Press, Zemědělská 1, 613 00 Brno, Czechia

Edition: 1st Edition, 2024

No. of pages: 412

No. of copies: 60

ISBN 978-80-7509-962-4 (print)

ISBN 978-80-7509-963-1 (online ; pdf)

ISSN 2336-6311 (print)

ISSN 2336-632X (online)

<https://doi.org/10.11118/978-80-7509-963-1>